Board Member Electronic Communications

The Governing Board recognizes that electronic communication is an efficient and convenient way for the Board members to communicate and expedite the exchange of information within the district and with members of the public. Board members shall exercise caution so as to ensure that electronic communications are not used as a means for the Board to deliberate outside of an agendized Board meeting, circumvent the public's right to access records regarding district business, or restrict access to a public forum.

A majority of the Board shall not, outside of an authorized meeting, use a series of electronic communications of any kind, directly or through intermediaries, to discuss, deliberate, or take action on any item that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board. (Government Code 54952.2)

Examples of permissible electronic communications concerning district business include, but are not limited to, dissemination of Board meeting agendas and agenda packets, reports of activities from the Superintendent, and reminders regarding meeting times, dates, and places.

Board members may engage in separate conversations or communications with members of the public on a social media platform to answer questions, provide information, or solicit information regarding a matter that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board, as long as a majority of the Board does not use the platform to discuss among themselves any business of a specific nature that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board. A Board member is prohibited from responding directly to any communication from other Board members regarding matters that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board or using digital icons (e.g., "likes" or emojis) to express reactions to communications made by other Board members. (Government Code 54952.2)

Whenever a Board member uses a social media platform to communicate with the public about district business or Board activities, the Board member shall not block access to a member of the public based on the viewpoint expressed by that individual.

Board members may use electronic communications to discuss matters that do not pertain to district business, regardless of the number of Board members participating in the discussion.

Board members shall make every effort to ensure that their electronic communications conform to the same standards and protocols established for other forms of communication. A Board member may respond, as appropriate, to an electronic communication received from a member of the community and should make clear that the

Board Member Electronic Communications (continued)

response does not necessarily reflect the views of the Board as a whole. Any complaint or request for information should be forwarded to the Superintendent in accordance with Board bylaws and protocols so that the issue may receive proper consideration and be handled through the appropriate district process. As appropriate, communication received from the media shall be forwarded to the designated district spokesperson.

To the extent possible, electronic communications regarding any district-related business shall be transmitted through a district-provided device or account. When any such communication is transmitted through a Board member's personal device or account, the Board member shall copy the communication to a district electronic storage device for easy retrieval.

Policy Reference Disclaimer: These references are not intended to be part of the policy itself, nor do they indicate the basis or authority for the board to enact this policy. Instead, they are provided as additional resources for those interested in the subject matter of the policy.

State Reference	Description
Ed. Code 35140	Time and place of meetings
Ed. Code 35145	Public meetings
Ed. Code 35145.5	Agenda; public participation and regulation
Ed. Code 35147	Open meeting laws exceptions
Gov. Code 11135	Prohibition of discrimination
Gov. Code 54950-54963	The Ralph M. Brown Act
Gov. Code 54952.2	Meeting; defined
Gov. Code 54953	Meeting to be open and public; attendance
Gov. Code 54954.2	Agenda posting requirements; board actions
Gov. Code 7920.000-7930.170	California Public Records Act
Management Resources References	Description
Management Resources References Attorney General Publication	Description The Brown Act: Open Meeting for Legislative Bodies, rev. 2003
0	-
Attorney General Publication	The Brown Act: Open Meeting for Legislative Bodies, rev. 2003
Attorney General Publication	The Brown Act: Open Meeting for Legislative Bodies, rev. 2003 Knight First Amendment Institute at Columbia University v.
Attorney General Publication Court Decision	The Brown Act: Open Meeting for Legislative Bodies, rev. 2003 Knight First Amendment Institute at Columbia University v. Trump (2019) 928 F 3d 226
Attorney General Publication Court Decision	The Brown Act: Open Meeting for Legislative Bodies, rev. 2003 Knight First Amendment Institute at Columbia University v. Trump (2019) 928 F 3d 226 Garnier v. Poway Unified School District, (S.D. Cal. September
Attorney General Publication Court Decision Court Decision	The Brown Act: Open Meeting for Legislative Bodies, rev. 2003 Knight First Amendment Institute at Columbia University v. Trump (2019) 928 F 3d 226 Garnier v. Poway Unified School District, (S.D. Cal. September 26, 2019) No. 17-cv-2215-W (JLB), 2019 WL 4736208
Attorney General Publication Court Decision Court Decision Court Decision	The Brown Act: Open Meeting for Legislative Bodies, rev. 2003 Knight First Amendment Institute at Columbia University v. Trump (2019) 928 F 3d 226 Garnier v. Poway Unified School District, (S.D. Cal. September 26, 2019) No. 17-cv-2215-W (JLB), 2019 WL 4736208 City of San Jose v. Superior Court (2017) 2 Cal.5th 608
Attorney General Publication Court Decision Court Decision Court Decision	The Brown Act: Open Meeting for Legislative Bodies, rev. 2003 Knight First Amendment Institute at Columbia University v. Trump (2019) 928 F 3d 226 Garnier v. Poway Unified School District, (S.D. Cal. September 26, 2019) No. 17-cv-2215-W (JLB), 2019 WL 4736208 City of San Jose v. Superior Court (2017) 2 Cal.5th 608 Legal Alert: Tips for Governing Boards in Response to Public
Attorney General Publication Court Decision Court Decision Court Decision CSBA Publication	The Brown Act: Open Meeting for Legislative Bodies, rev. 2003 Knight First Amendment Institute at Columbia University v. Trump (2019) 928 F 3d 226 Garnier v. Poway Unified School District, (S.D. Cal. September 26, 2019) No. 17-cv-2215-W (JLB), 2019 WL 4736208 City of San Jose v. Superior Court (2017) 2 Cal.5th 608 Legal Alert: Tips for Governing Boards in Response to Public Records Act Ruling on Electronic Communications, March 2017

Board Member Electronic Communications (continued)

Website	CSBA, GAMUT Meetings
Website	California Attorney General's Office
Website	<u>CSBA</u>
Cross References	Description
1100	Communication with the Public
1112	Media Relations
1113	District and School Websites
1114	District-Sponsored Social Media
1312.1	Complaints Concerning District Employees
1312.2	Complaints Concerning Instructional Materials
1312.2-E(1)	Complaints Concerning Instructional Materials
1312.3	Uniform Complaint Procedure
1312.3-E(1)	Uniform Complaint Procedure
1312.4	Williams Uniform Complaint Procedure
1312.4-E(1)	Williams Uniform Complaint Procedure
1312.4-E(2)	Williams Uniform Complaint Procedure
1340	Access to District Records
3320	Claims and Actions Against the District
3580	District Records
9000	Role of the Board
9005	Governance Standards
9010	Public Statements
9121	President
9200	Limits of Board Member Authority
9230	Orientation
9320	Meetings and Notices
9322	Agenda/Meeting Materials
9323.2	Actions by the Board
9323.2-E(1)	Actions by the Board
9323.2-E(2)	Actions by the Board